

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,221	10/30/2001	Thomas J. Schall	10709-014	2004
Scott Ausenhus	7590 06/15/2007 S. ESO.		EXAM	INER
Townsend Townsend & Crew			CANELLA, KAREN A	
1200 Seventeer Suite 27000	nth Street	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dever, CO 802	02		1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/001,221	SCHALL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
-	Karen A. Canella	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	S DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1 Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	•	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 69-72 and 75-106 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) 69-72, 75-88 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 89,90,94-96,98,99 and 106 is/are 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	drawn from consideration.		
<u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. lents have been received in A briority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/001,221

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

After review and reconsideration, the finality of the Office action of January 3, 2006 is withdrawn.

Claim 69 has been amended with the amendment filed April 3, 2006. Claims 76-78, 94-96 are rejoined for examination at this time. Claims 69-72, 75-106 are pending and under consideration.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,221

Art Unit: 1643

Claims 89, 90, 94-96, 98, 99 and 106 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,324. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the '324 patent are obvious over the instant claims.

Claim 1 of the patent is drawn to a recombinant cytomegalovirus comprising a genome having a first heterologous nucleotide sequence encoding a heterologous chemokine element and a second heterologous nucleotide e sequence encoding a immunogenic polypeptide. Claim 2 embodies the method of claim 1 wherein the CMV is encapsulated a s a viron which fulfill the instant method of claim 98 drawn to a microsphere as in the broadest reasonable interpretation, a viron is a microsphere. Claim 3 of the patent s drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising the viron of claim 2 in a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Claim 4 of the patent specifies that the immunogenic polypeptide comprises a tumor antigen or an antigen which is pathogenic in humans. Claim 4 of the patent requires that the heterologous chemokine element is selected from a group including mC10..

It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to express the recombinant cytomegalovirus in a host to obtain the heterologous chemokine and the immunogenic polypeptide. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to provide an enhanced immune response against the heterologous immunogenic polypeptide by virtue of the leukocyte attracting chemokine mC10. Further, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art that the administered composition should be sterile filtered in order to eliminate contaminating microbes and viruses.

Claims 91, 92, 93, 97, 100-105 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

All other rejections and objections as set forth or maintained in the previous Office action are withdrawn.

Claims 69-72, 75-88 are free of the art.

Art Unit: 1643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Canella whose telephone number is (571)272-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571)272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karen A. Canella, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1643